Appendix A Strategic Risk Register January 2013 - DRAFT [changes highlighted]

[Note: Strategic Risk Registers reported to EMT or PFH only show risks with a total score of 5 or more (risks scoring 4 or less are still on the Strategic Risk Register, but are not included in the reports).]



Risk Reference, Title and Description,			Score	Risk Owner's Comments
plus associated Aims, Approaches, Actions	Risk Owner	Target	Current	
 STR08 - Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) Risks concerning the financial projections include: not achieving delivery of savings to meet targets; inflation exceeds assumptions; interest rates do not meet forecasts; employer's pension contributions increases exceed projections; changes in demand for some service areas could lead to pressures in the related budgets; unforeseen restructuring costs; local government resource review - localisation of business rates; major developments do not meet housing trajectory forecast; uncertainty re formula grant from 2015/16; cost of supporting development and meeting demand from growth; impact of welfare reform (and see STR15 below); availability of budget for Cabinet priorities; council tax strategy; national Government responds to the downturn in the economy by cutting local government expenditure faster than anticipated; material error in MTFS forecasts, leading to the Council needing to take action to cut its budgets, <i>resulting in</i> cuts in services, public dissatisfaction, audit and inspection criticism. 	Alex Colyer	10	20	 SCORES - IMPACT: 5; LIKELIHOOD: 4. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Revised MTFS incorporates updated assumptions; approved by Council on 23 February 2012. Implement plans to deliver Council's programme in line with latest General Fund (GF) / Housing Revenue Account (IRA) savings targets. Executive Management Team (EMT) reviews progress in achieving budget targets. Treasury management reports to Finance & Staffing PFH. Monitor inflation factors, effect of current economic climate on demand led services and budgets. Council Health Dashboard. Monthly financial report to EMT. Comparisons between MTFS, financial position statements and General Fund, HRA and Capital Programme estimates. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Explore shared service opportunities. Explore opportunities for further savings beyond those in the MTFS. Explore business rates retention pooling for 2014/15. Updated MTFS during 2012/13 (next due at February 2013 Cabinet meeting). Relevant PI(s): SF 772 - The amount (£) of Overspend - General Fund SF 773 - The amount (£) of Overspend - Capital Programme SF 774 - The amount (£) of Overspend - Lousing Revenue Account SF 707 - General Fund Budget Variation SF 748 - HRA Budget Variation

Risk Reference, Title and Description,	Risk Owner Risk Score		core	Risk Owner's Comments
plus associated Aims, Approaches, Actions	RISK Owner	Target	Current	
 STR15 - Welfare Reform Proposed radical changes to benefits, including possibility of localised council tax benefits and introduction of a universal credit system, leading to possible: increased IT cost due to required system changes; implementation costs not fully reimbursed by Government grant; increased workload for Benefits and Homelessness teams, resulting in potential for: adverse effect on service provision due to the number of changes; increased dissatisfaction with the service due to reduced amounts of benefit payable; impact on Medium Term Financial Strategy; devastating effect on people with mental health problems; and dislocation of private sector housing market. Aims, Approaches, Actions: C7, B3 Relevant PI(s): BV 078a - HB/CTB claims days BV 078b - HB/CTB claims process days NI 181 - Benefit claims process days BV 079b ii - Recoverable overpayments % BV 079b ii - ME Overpayments written off % 	Alex Colyer		20	SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 5. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Discretionary Housing Payments policy completed and approved. Project plan for Localised Council Tax Benefits (CTB), reviewed by County Revenues Group. Consultations with professional bodies, peer authorities, software suppliers and RSLs, ongoing. DCLG have provided an initial grant of £84k towards costs. Consultation exercise for CTB, including road shows and tenant participation group events. Transitional Scheme Announced by DCLG which will provide additional funding for compliant schemes for 2013/14 only. Draft scheme design completed which includes transitional funding Cabinet approve draft scheme which allows application for transitional funding Under occupation exercise being updated monthly; all tenants affected have been written to and asked to confirm their current details to ensure information held is correct. All residents who are working age have been written to with regard to the CTB consultation in a bid to make sure residents have their views taken into account. Impact assessment of Customer Contact from March to June 2013 and additional resources and costs: Benefits and Housing Advice & Homelessness teams to provide initial training. Signpost residents who are in difficulty, advice / counselling / financial help / medical assistance etc. Monthly monitoring of the project is undertaken by Executive Director, Benefit Manager and Revenues Manager, as part of the regular one to one process. Monthly meetings with Housing re under occupancy etc. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Spring 2013 (Council tax support (local authorities) due to go live w.e.f. 1 April 2013). Final report on Localised CTB to Cabinet followed by Council in January 2013. SCDC's housing department will be visiting all affected tenants between now and Christmas 2012 to engage with them and also to complete survey with regard to obstacles to employment which links in the ALS project. Impact assessment taking place with regard to financial

Risk Reference, Title and Description,	Risk Owner	Risk S	core	Risk Owner's Comments
plus associated Aims, Approaches, Actions	RISK Owner	Target	Current	
 STR05 - Lack of Development Progress While there is good progress on the Cambridge fringe sites, at Cambourne and on a refreshed planning application for Northstowe despite uncertainty about improvements to the A14, development is below target, <i>leading to</i> the authority being unable to deliver its housing needs, <i>resulting in</i> the Council having to meet the shortfall in the short term from developments in existing villages and head off speculative major planning applications outside the strategy. Aims, Approaches, Actions: B7, B6 Relevant PI(s): BV 106 - % new homes on brown field sites NI 154 - Net additional homes provided, NI 159 - Supply of ready to develop housing sites 	Jo Mills	10	16	 SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 4. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: A14 - Task Group set up with Department for Transport. Government announcement of funding for 'interim measures' on A14, and recognising need for longer term investment after the Task Group has concluded its work. Phase 1 planning application received 27 February 2012, with Development Framework Document. Northstowe Joint Team appointed. Planning Application consideration – on target. Northstowe included in Government's Major Sites Initiative funding programme. Planning Policy produce an Annual Monitoring Report (forecasts housebuilding levels), annually review the Local Development Scheme (can address any shortfall) and have completed a new Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA). Pre-application discussions started with Ida Darwin hospital site. Pre-application discussions due to commence on NIAB2, and to recommence with Marshalls for land north of Newmarket Road. Construction for Cambourne 950 has started. Issues and Options for new Local Plan published July 2012; consultation concluded 28 September 2012. Issues and Options 2 due to be approved for consultation on 13 December, with consultation running to 28 February. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Timetable for new Local Development Scheme agreed by the New Communities Portfolio Holder in March 2011 with new plan in place in 2014. Detail of timetable has been revised to fit more closely with the City Council's programme, but overall timetable unchanged.
 STR03 - Illegal Traveller encampments or developments Failure to find required number of sites, or sites identified do not meet the needs of local Travellers, <i>leading to</i> illegal encampments or developments in the district, <i>resulting in</i> community tensions; cost and workload of enforcement action, including provision of alternative sites and/or housing; poor public perception and damage to reputation. Aims, Approaches, Actions: C6 	Jo Mills	10	12	SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 3. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Ongoing routine monitoring of all district development. Government guidance issued, county wide needs assessment endorsed by PFH. Monthly report on position regarding temporary expiries and applications circulated to managers and key Members for coordination and oversight. Gypsy & Traveller Plan included in Local Plan. Issues & Options consultation, Summer 2012. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: New applications – ongoing. Local Plan due for completion 2014.
 STR25 - Increase in numbers in temporary accommodation Potential impacts from current economic downturn and instability in the housing market and changes to the benefits system, <i>leading to</i> not enough temporary accommodation available, leading to an increase in B&B use, <i>resulting in</i> applicants not moved into permanent accommodation quickly enough and increased cost to the Council. Aims, Approaches, Actions: C7 	Stephen Hills	12	12	SCORES - IMPACT: 3; LIKELIHOOD: 4. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Close working partnership with King Street Housing who provide private sector leasing options; use of Rent Deposit Scheme. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Project underway to target new TA sources to reduced B&B. Monitor during 2012/13.

Risk Reference, Title and Description,	Risk Owner	Risk S	Score	Risk Owner's Comments
plus associated Aims, Approaches, Actions	Kisk Owner	Target	Current	
STR20 – Partnership working with Cambridgeshire County Council The failure of partnership arrangements (e.g. health & wellbeing, economic development, transport) with the County Council, <i>leading to</i> the needs of district residents and businesses not being adequately met or reflected in County Council resource allocation decisions, <i>resulting in</i> adverse effects on the district's residents and businesses. Aims, Approaches, Actions: A1	Jean Hunter	9	9	SCORES - IMPACT: 3; LIKELIHOOD: 3. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Active engagement of officers and Members in partnerships, to ensure the district's residents' and businesses' needs are articulated. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Progress being monitored via Corporate Plan 2012/13, e.g. A01, A12, B02, B04-BO6, C03, C05, C10 and C13. Dependent on the timeframe/milestones for each partnership.
 STR19 - Demands on services from an ageing population The district's demography changes, with significant growth in the over 65 year old population, <i>leading to</i> additional demands on health and social care services, including to the Council's sheltered housing and benefits services, <i>resulting in</i> adverse impact on service standards; increased customer dissatisfaction with services; increased levels of social isolation. Aims, Approaches, Actions: C4, C9, B8 	Mike Hill	9	9	 SCORES - IMPACT: 3; LIKELIHOOD: 3. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Establishment of 'Ageing Well' workshops to build relations with statutory and voluntary partner agencies, and promote community based preventative measures. Multi agency working group established September 2011, to meet bi monthly. Demographic data to inform new South Cambridgeshire Local Plan – timetable agreed in March 2011. Housing for older people Task & Finish review reported to Scrutiny & Overview Committee, 6 February 2012. Participation in county wide Ageing Well project – initial meeting held November 2011. County wide workshop held on 16 March 2012. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Action in 2012/17 corporate plan to 'Work with older people to improve their independence and quality of life'. South Cambridgeshire Ageing Well action plan in preparation. Take account of demographic change in the corporate and financial planning cycle. Redesign services to address demands.
 STR24 - HRA Business Plan The HRA Business Plan has its own associated risk register. Of the risks included, it is considered that only one needs to be included in the Strategic Risk Register: The Government decides to reopen the debt settlement, <i>leading to</i> increased debt requirement, <i>resulting in</i> reduced housing programme. Aims, Approaches, Actions: B8, C4, C9, A4 	Stephen Hills	8	8	SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 2. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Capacity has been built into the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) business plan to absorb some future changes if they are required. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Monitor Government policy including utilising our partnership arrangements with the Chartered Institute of Housing. Annual review of business plan, programme and resources.

Risk Reference, Title and Description,	Risk Owner	Risk S	Score	Risk Owner's Comments
plus associated Aims, Approaches, Actions	RISK Owner	Target	Current	
 STR22 - Safeguarding the Council's services against climate change The Council fails to develop measures to safeguard its services against climate change, <i>leading to</i> unacceptable vulnerability to the impact of climate shifts and other weather-related events, <i>resulting in</i> a degradation or breakdown of service delivery and damage to property, increasing costs and impact on the Council's reputation. Aims, Approaches, Actions: C8 	Jo Mills	8	8	SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 2. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: The Council adopted the Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) 2011-2013 on 22 September 2011. Specific actions in place within CCAP and Planning and New Communities Service Plan. Regular EMT reporting and quarterly performance reports to PFH meetings. New Sustainable Energy Committee established. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: CCAP actions undertaken over the period 2011 to 2013.
 STR02 – Equalities The Council is successfully challenged over not complying with general equalities legislation or legislation specific to public and local authority bodies, <i>leading to</i> possible Commission for Human Rights and Equalities inspection, <i>resulting in</i> reduction in reserves available to support balanced MTFS, adverse publicity and effect on reputation. Aims, Approaches, Actions: A2, A4 Relevant PI(s): SX063 – Equality Framework Level 2 	Alex Colyer	8	8	SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 2. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: The Council met its legal requirements to publish equality information by 31 January 2012 and publish equality objectives by 6 April 2012. This information is incorporated into a new Single Equality Scheme (SES), which was adopted by the portfolio holder on 21 March 2012. The adoption of a corporate approach to EQIAs is based on identification of revised assessments via forward plans and a focus on changed outcomes as a result of assessment, supported by the development of a simplified series of templates and the introduction of a 'screening tool' which is in the process of being rolled out to services. Quarterly performance reports to EMT and PFH meetings. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: The Council has prepared an interim self-assessment against the 'Excellence' level of the Equality Framework for Local Government (EFLG), which was considered by EMT on 24 October 2012. EMT supported the recommendation in principle to pursue 'Excellence,' subject to a more detailed project plan of the likely resource commitment required and greater understanding of the development areas identified and how these could be addressed using existing or new pieces of work. The Project plan will be presented to EMT on 23 January 2013. The Equality and Diversity Steering Group will be re-established as a project team and Stephen Hills has been designated as EMT Equalities Champion to act as Project Sponsor for the future accreditation work and chair project/steering group meetings.

Risk Reference, Title and Description,	Risk Owner	Risk Score		Risk Owner's Comments	
plus associated Aims, Approaches, Actions	Risk Owner	Target	Current		
 STR12 - Supported Housing Reduction in Supporting People (SP) funding, <i>leading to</i> loss of staff and changes to delivery structure, <i>resulting in</i> dissatisfaction amongst residents and concerns over well being of vulnerable people Aims, Approaches, Actions: C9, C4 Relevant PI(s): Monitored through Corporate Aims C4 and C9. 	Stephen Hills	6	6	 SCORES - IMPACT: 2; LIKELIHOOD: 3 (down from 5). CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: Needs assessment of all tenants + Member task & finish group to identify best ways to meet tenants' needs. Consultation with staff on new structure. The Housing Portfolio Holder approved a new sheltered housing structure on 15 February 2012. Reduction in funding decision now taken (wef April 2012); confirmation received. Budget reviewed accordingly. Process managed so that potential negative impact has already largely been mitigated. All sheltered housing tenants informed. New service commenced July 2012. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: To be reviewed by Scrutiny in February 2013. Will need to competitively tender to provide the visiting support service. Procurement postponed for 6 months and overall budget likely to be held steady. 	
 STR16 – Depot size Failure to secure permanent alternative depot arrangements, <i>leading to</i> adverse health & safety implications, loss of operating licence, <i>resulting in</i> inability to provide full service, possible staff death or injury, service failure/disruption, legal action, reputational damage. Aims, Approaches, Actions: C2 	Mike Hill	4	4	SCORES - IMPACT: 4; LIKELIHOOD: 1. CONTROL MEASURES / SOURCES OF ASSURANCE: SMT supported move and lease of 25 years on 4 May 2011; approved by Environmental Services PFH in May 2011. Implementation and additional costs included in 2012/13 and 2013/14 budgets. Project Initiation Document approved by EMT in August 2011. New planning application approved by Planning Committee in September 2012. Heads of Terms completed. Building has commenced. TIMESCALE TO PROGRESS: Legal lease drafted and being finalised. Occupation target March /April 2013.	

Red / Amber / Green shading in the Actual Column indicates the following movement in risk scores:

	Red	Amber	Green
for risks previously above the line:	• the score has increased	 the score has not changed, or has decreased but stays above the line 	• the score has decreased to below the line
for risks previously below the line:	• the score has increased to above the line	the score has increased but stays below the line	• the score has not changed, or has decreased

Notes

- The "Reference" is unique and retained by the risk throughout the period of its inclusion in the risk register.
 Risks are cross referenced to the relevant 2012/13 Aims, Approaches and/or Actions adopted by Council on 23 February 2012.
- Criteria and guidelines for assessing "Impact" and "Likelihood" are shown on below.
 The "Actual" risk score is obtained by multiplying the Impact score by the Likelihood score.
 The dotted line (-----) shows the Council's risk tolerance line.
- 6. The "Timescale to progress" is the date by which it is planned that the risk will be mitigated to below the line.

Impact Giving rise to one or more of the following:

			9.					
Service disruption	People	Financial loss *	Environment	Statutory service/ legal obligations	Management	Reputation	Score	
Serious disruption to services (loss of services for more than 7 days)	Loss of life	Financial loss over £500k	Major regional / national environmental damage	 Central government intervention; or Multiple civil or criminal suits 	Could lead to resignation of Leader or Chief Executive	Extensive adverse coverage in national press and/or television	5	Al
Major disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)	Extensive multiple injuries	Financial loss between £251k - £500k	Major local environmental damage	 Strong regulatory sanctions; or Litigation 	Could lead to resignation of Member or Executive Director	Adverse coverage in national press and/or television	4	Li
Noticeable disruption to services (loss of services for up to 48 hours)	Serious injury (medical treatment required)	Financial loss between £51k - £250k	Moderate environmental damage	Regulatory sanctions, interventions, public interest reports; or Litigation	Disciplinary / capability procedures invoked	Extensive adverse front page local press coverage	3	Pos
Some disruption to internal services; no impact on customers	Minor injury (first aid)	Financial loss of between £6k - £50k	Minor environmental damage	Minor regulatory consequences; or • Litigation	Formal HR procedure invoked	Some local press coverage; or, adverse internal comment	2	Un
Insignificant disruption to internal services; no impact on customers	No injuries	Financial loss of up to £5k	Insignificant environmental damage	 No regulatory consequences; or Litigation 	Informal HR procedure invoked	No reputational damage	1	R
	Service disruption Serious disruption to services (loss of services for more than 7 days) Major disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days) Noticeable disruption to services (loss of services for up to 48 hours) Some disruption to internal services; no impact on impact on	Service disruptionPeopleSerious disruption to services (loss of services for more than 7 days)Loss of lifeMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Extensive multiple injuriesNoticeable disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Serious injury (medical treatment required)Noticeable disruption to services for up to 48 hours)Serious injury (medical treatment required)Some disruption to internal services; no impact on customersMinor injury (first aid)No internal services; no impact onNo injuries	Service disruptionPeopleFinancial loss *Serious disruption to services (loss of more than 7 days)Loss of lifeFinancial loss *Major disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Extensive multiple injuriesFinancial loss over £500kMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Extensive multiple injuriesFinancial loss between £251k - £500kNoticeable disruption to services (loss of services for up to 48 hours)Serious injury (medical treatment required)Financial loss between £51k - £250kSome disruption to internal services; no impact on customersMinor injury (first aid)Financial loss of between £6k - £50kNo inguriticant disruption to internal services; no impact onNo injuriesFinancial loss of between £6k - £50k	Service disruptionPeopleFinancial loss *EnvironmentSerious disruption to services (loss of more than 7 days)Loss of lifeFinancial loss over £500kMajor regional / national environmental damageMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Extensive multiple injuriesFinancial loss over £500kMajor regional / national environmental damageMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Extensive multiple injuriesFinancial loss between £251k - £500kMajor local environmental damageNoticeable disruption to services for up to 48 hours)Serious injury (medical treatment required)Financial loss between £51k - £250kModerate environmental damageSome disruption to internal services; no impact on customersMinor injury (first aid)Financial loss of between £6k - £50kMinor environmental damageInsignificant disruption to internal services; no impact onNo injuriesInsignificant environmental loss of up to £5kInsignificant environmental damage	Service disruptionPeopleFinancial loss *EnvironmentStatutory service/ legal obligationsSerious disruption to services (loss of adays)Loss of lifeFinancial loss over £500kMajor regional / national environmental damageCentral government intervention; or • Multiple civil or criminal suitsMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Extensive multiple injuriesFinancial loss between £500kMajor local environmental damage• Central government intervention; or • Multiple civil or criminal suitsNoticeable disruption to services for up to 48 hours)Serious injury (medical treatment required)Financial loss between £51k - £250kModerate environmental damage• Strong regulatory sanctions; or • LitigationSome disruption to internal services; no impact on customersMinor injury (first aid)Financial loss of between £50kModerate environmental damage• No regulatory sanctions, interventions, public interest reports; or • LitigationSome disruption to internal services; no impact onMinor injuriesFinancial loss of between £50kMinor environmental damage• No regulatory consequences; or • LitigationNo internal iservices; no impact onNo injuriesFinancial loss of up to £5kInsignificant environmental damage• No regulatory consequences; or • Litigation	Service disruptionPeopleFinancial loss *EnvironmentStatutory service/ legal obligationsManagementSerious disruption to services for more than 7 days)Loss of lifeFinancial loss over £500kMajor regional / national environmental damage• Central government intervention; or • Multiple civil or criminal suitsCould lead to resignation of Leader or ChiefMajor days)Extensive multiple injuriesFinancial loss over £500kMajor local environmental damage• Strong regulatory sanctions; or • LitigationCould lead to resignation of Leader or ChiefMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Serious injury (medical treatment required)Financial loss between £251k - £250kMajor local environmental damage• Strong regulatory sanctions; or • LitigationCould lead to resignation of Member or Executive DirectorNoticeable disruption to internal services; no impact onSerious finiancy (figst aid)Financial loss of between £50kModerate environmental damage• Regulatory sanctions, public interest reports; or • LitigationDisciplinary / capability procedures invokedSome disruption to internal services; no impact onMinor injury (first aid)Financial loss of up between £50kMinor environmental damage• No regulatory consequences; or • LitigationFormal HR procedure invoked	disruptionPeopleIoss *Environmentlegal obligationsManagementReputationSerious disruption to services (loss of ational more than 7 days)Loss of lifeFinancial loss over £500kMajor regional / national environmental damage• Central government intervention; or • Multiple civil or criminal suitsCould lead to resignation of Leader or Chief ExecutiveExtensive adverse coverage in national press and/or televisionMajor disruption to services for 7 days)Extensive Extensive injury (medical iservices for up to 7 days)Financial loss between £510kMajor local environmental damage• Strong regulatory sanctions; or • LitigationCould lead to resignation of Leader or Chief ExecutiveAdverse coverage in national press and/or televisionNoticeable disruption to services fuldsorSerious injury (medical interust required)Financial loss of between £250kModerate environmental damage• Regulatory sanctions; or • LitigationDisciplinary / capability procedures invokedExtensive adverse coverageSome disruption to internal services; no impact on customersFinancial loss of between £50kMinor environmental damage• No regulatory consequences; or or • LitigationDisciplinary / consequences; or • LitigationExtensive adverse coverageSome customersMinor injury (first services; no impact onFinancial loss of between<	Service disruptionPeopleFinancial loss *EnvironmentStatutory service/ legal obligationsManagementReputationScoreSerious disruption to services (loss of more than 7 days)Loss of lifeFinancial loss over £500kEnvironmental damageMajor regional / national environmental damageCould lead to resignation of central government intervention; or • Multiple civil or criminal suitsExtensive adverse coverage in national environmental damageExtensive adverse coverage in national environmental damageExtensive adverse coverage in or • Multiple civil or criminal suitsCould lead to resignation of coverage in ational press and/or televisionExtensive adverse coverage in national press and/or televisionMajor disruption to services (loss of services for up to 7 days)Financial loss £251k - £250kMajor local environmental damage• Strong regulatory strong regulatory • LitigationCould lead to resignation of member or ExecutiveAdverse coverage in national national adverse coverageNoticeable disruption to internal adiruption to internal adirup

Likelihood

	Guidelines	Score
Almost certain	 Is expected to occur in most circumstances (more than 90%), or Could happen in the next year, or More than 90% likely to occur in the next 12 months 	5
Likely	 Will probably occur at some time, or in some circumstances (66% - 90%), or Could happen in the next 2 years, or 66% to 90% likely to occur in the next 12 months 	4
Possible	 Fairly likely to occur at some time, or in some circumstances (36% - 65%), or Could happen in the next 3 years, or 36% to 65% likely to occur in the next 12 months 	3
Unlikely	 Is unlikely to occur, but could, at some time (11% - 35%), or Could happen in the next 10 years, or 11% to 35% likely to occur in the next 12 months 	2
Rare	 May only occur in exceptional circumstances (up to 10%), or Unlikely to happen in the next 10 years, or Up to 10% likely to occur in the next 12 months 	1

* including claim or fine